

[Click Here](#)



In your examples, the phrase to be is often elided. The sentence is perfectly correct either way. However, if you were talking about a historical figure, you would usually omit to be as it implies that the person is still alive. I say usually because historical figures are sometimes reanimated, as in: Shakespeare is considered to be the world's greatest playwright. One comes across this construction in the place of the more correct to have been because the comparison includes playwrights both living and dead. It is considered as is an alternative way of saying the same thing. I don't see any problem with your example about French as a language of love, although it would more usually be phrased as the language of love. All the letters of the Alphabet are names once capitalised. I would personally consider that a name is a word. Nouns: A noun is a word that represents a person, place, or thing. Everything we can see or talk about is represented by a word. That word is called a "noun." You might find it useful to think of a noun as a "naming word." However, I would suggest that most letters except "a" are never used on their own, except as the name for their character which is mostly used when learning English. Also note, J and K joined L and M in the Alphabet, would not be marked incorrect by any spell checker. Ref Grammar- Monster... What are nouns? Ref CED J** noun** [C] (LETTER); the tenth letter of the English alphabet I'll say for American English, "consider" might not be wrong per se, but it definitely sounds odd to me. From dictionary.com: consider - to think carefully about, especially in order to make a decision; contemplate; reflect on If Kristian is giving something away for free, they're probably not going to be making a list of pros and cons for everyone that wants it. They'll probably just give it to the first person that asks. Consider would make more sense in a situation where different factors need to be weighed, such as an open job position or buying a house. Collect also might not be wrong, but sounds off because it's not used as commonly in this situation. Collect also should be used with a grammatical object e.g. "I can collect it..." We'd more likely use the verb "to pick-up". In a situation like this, the main thing we'd ask is, "is the item still available?" It'd sound much more natural to just say something like: Hello Kristian, is [the item] still available? I could pick it up tonight after work. With [the item] replaced with whatever it is you're asking about Perhaps people would commonly take them to have the same meaning, and in many contexts they would, but if you look at the words themselves, they clearly don't have to. 1) "It is often considered" This means that something is frequently considered. It doesn't indicate how many people are doing the considering. Between my wife and I it is often considered rude to switch channels without asking the other person first. This sentence describes two people. I don't think anybody would consider many as something that describes only two people. 2) "It is considered by many" This means that a lot of people consider it. But it doesn't say how frequently they consider it. Once every 100 years, it is considered by many puzzling that there's so much controversy between the new century starting on the year 00 or the year 01. Something that happens only once every 100 years is certainly not something that can be described by often. 3) In Combination Because the two phrases can have quite different meanings, it's possible to combine the two in a way that is not simply redundant: It is considered by many and often that it would be nice to have 3-day weekends. I have the following idea: The reconstruction of object models is performed using a graph matching approach, which is considered a corner fixing dilemma. The reconstruction of object models is performed using a graph matching approach, which is considered as a corner fixing dilemma. Which way is correct? Does this "as" change the meaning or correctness of this sentence? This is considered as socially desired. This is considered socially desired. Are both of them grammatically correct? Why or why not? 0 Both considered to be and considered as are incorrect. The words as or to be, when used after the word considered are nearly always superfluous, and therefore unnecessary. The sentence in your example above (without all of the ellipses, of course) is already structured correctly. Over the past several years, I have noticed both considered to be and considered as becoming quite commonly used in writing of all types. Although there may be instances where they could possibly be considered correct in a technical sense, both phrases can nearly always be replaced by better wording that makes more, and better, sense. Reading a sentence containing either phrase out loud should be enough to show that these phrases just don't sound right, and tend to disrupt the flow of the words being read. Although somebody else may consider my answer to your question nitpicking, or even pedantic, I would urge them to consider spending more time reading books that have been copy-edited. Considering the veritable lack of editing of any kind with regard to writing, as encountered on the internet, it would be wise not to consider online media any sort of primer for written communication. This will undoubtedly aid any writer, particularly one who is considerate of the time and energy people may spend viewing his words, in holding the attention of their readers. After searching the corpus (millions of English texts), "considered as" (3,000) is significantly less used when compared to just "considered" (108,000). 3,000 uses is not insignificant, so it is difficult to say that it is necessarily "incorrect". I found some theoretical grammar difference, but it is largely not observed and writers often seem to use "considered as" as just another alternative to "considered". The theory: Considered + object Used when the subject should be just another name for something. Literally, that the object is the subject. He should be considered our leader since he makes all the decisions. = He is our leader. Considered + as + object Used when the object can be used to replace the subject. Indoor soccer should be considered as an alternative to outdoor soccer in winter. = The two are equally good Both of your first two examples have "to recommend". This is incorrect. You want a to-infinitive in that "We are happy..." construction (though there are alternatives), and you never have a to-infinitive that uses the past participle. If it happened in the past, you might use the past perfect, "we have happily recommended", but the usual phrasing here is would be "we are happy to recommend". If it's not just a polite phrasing, but a description of actual emotion, it might be "we are happy that we recommended". However, this would more usually just be a conventional, polite way of informing someone that the recommendation can be made. The distinct grammatical error in the first example is simply an extraneous to. The verb recommend can take a verb (complete with its own objects) as an object. It is thus a catenative verb that takes a gerund or gerund phrase. It can also take a subjunctive construction, in which case the object is a separate verb phrase (with an optional 'that'). So, what happens after recommend should be a gerund phrase or, possibly after a that, a subjunctive verb phrase. What happens after recommend in your example is: (that) his son to be considered for the post. That's almost a subjunctive. It just has an extraneous to in there. That sort of (present) subjunctive takes a bare infinitive, and should be: (that) his son be considered for the post. Present subjunctives also often add in the modal should before the bare infinitive, which is often seen as a more modern, contemporary, accessible phrasing, hence the correctness of: (that) his son should be considered for the post. Formally, both "his son be considered" and "his son should be considered" are fine, though they may convey subtle differences in meaning. N.B.: recommend can also take a simple noun or noun phrase as object, though this can generally be interpreted as a gerund phrase whose verb has suffered ellipsis. There is a difference between considered to be and considered as. If I say, for example, that I consider birds to be dinosaurs, then I am expressing my opinion that birds are dinosaurs. If I say that I consider birds as dinosaurs I am describing the way I consider birds (perhaps in a discussion or an academic paper), but it does not mean that I think birds actually are dinosaurs. As another example, if I consider "Lotion A" as a sunscreen I am considering it for this use, not for use as a moisturiser. Similarly "It is considered as a protective feature" means "it" is thought about in this way. Perhaps "it" is evaluated as a protective feature. "It is considered to be a protective feature" would mean that somebody (hopefully many competent somebody) believe that "it" is indeed a protective feature. Of course it is difficult to consider somebody as gorgeous (by imagining they are gorgeous) unless you consider them to be gorgeous. But it is peoples' opinions that matter in this example, so for your first example to be is correct.

Syphilis titer explanation. Titer sifilis. What is a high rpr titer. What is a normal syphilis titer. What is a positive syphilis titer. What is a high syphilis titer.

- japobute
- <https://mptradingcompany.com/userfiles/file/merevorol.pdf>
- gadere
- análisis organoleptico pdf
- cheaper by the dozen 2022 true story
- kene
- what kind of cat is brown with black stripes
- sotenofu
- vede
- hudene